# Prestons Park Subdivision

## Stage S1, S2, T2 & T3 Geotechnical Completion Report

**CDL Land Development NZ Ltd**

Reference: 235361

Revision: 0

2019-08-13

aurecon

Bringing ideas to life

# Document control record

Document prepared by:

**Aurecon New Zealand Limited**
Level 2, Iwikau Building
93 Cambridge Terrace
Christchurch 8013
New Zealand

**T** +64 3 366 0821
**F** +64 3 379 6955
**E** christchurch@aurecongroup.com
**W** aurecongroup.com

A person using Aurecon documents or data accepts the risk of:

**a)** Using the documents or data in electronic form without requesting and checking them for accuracy against the original hard copy version.

**b)** Using the documents or data for any purpose not agreed to in writing by Aurecon.

| **Document control** | | | | | | aurecon |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Report title** | | Stage S1, S2, T2 & T3 Geotechnical Completion Report | | | | |
| **Document code** | | | **Project number** | | 235361 | |
| **File path** | | P:\235361\Geotech\Geotechnical Completion Reports\Stage S1, S2, T2 & T3\235361 Geotechnical Completion Report Stage S1, S2, T2 & T3 Rev A.DOCX | | | | |
| **Client** | | CDL Land Development NZ Ltd | | | | |
| **Client contact** | | | **Client reference** | | | |
| **Rev** | **Date** | **Revision details/status** | **Author** | **Reviewer** | **Verifier** (if required) | **Approver** |
| A | 2019-08-05 | For review | K. Foote | J. Muirson | - | - |
| 0 | 2019-08-13 | For issue | K. Foote | J. Muirson | J. Kupec | J. Kupec |
| | | | | | | |
| **Current revision** | | **0** | | | | |

| **Approval** | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Author signature |  | Approver signature |  |
| Name | Kieran Foote | Name | Dr Jan Kupec |
| Title | Geotechnical Engineer | Title | Technical Director – Ground Engineering |

# Contents


**1. Executive Summary ..... 4**
**2. Introduction ..... 5**
2.1 Geotechnical Completion ..... 5
2.2 Site Description ..... 5
**3. Pre-Development Geotechnical Work ..... 6**
3.1 Geotechnical Testing ..... 6
3.2 Ground Conditions ..... 6
3.3 Liquefaction Potential ..... 7
3.4 Liquefaction Mitigation Measures ..... 7
**4. Ground Improvement ..... 8**
4.1 Introduction ..... 8
4.2 Methodology ..... 8
4.3 Quality Assurance ..... 8
**5. Subdivision Earthworks ..... 9**
5.1 General ..... 9
5.2 Areas of Cut and Fill ..... 9
5.3 Compaction Quality Control Testing ..... 9
5.4 Compaction Results ..... 9
**6. Post Earthworks CPT ..... 10**
6.1 Introduction ..... 10
6.2 Liquefaction Assessment ..... 10
**7. Building Development ..... 14**
7.1 Technical Category ..... 14
7.2 Earthworks on Building Lots ..... 14
7.3 Soil Suitability Criteria ..... 14
7.4 Building Considerations ..... 14
7.5 Retaining Wall ..... 14
7.6 Future Earthworks ..... 14
7.7 Stormwater ..... 15
7.8 Construction Observations ..... 15
**8. References ..... 16**
**9. Limitations & Explanatory Statement ..... 17**

# 1. Executive Summary

CDL Land New Zealand Limited is developing Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park Subdivision, located on Prestons Road, Christchurch. As part of the work, a geotechnical completion report is required to confirm that the site works have been carried out to the required standard and provide recommendations for building developments. This report describes earthworks and ground improvement involved with Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park Subdivision.

The client's brief indicated that the land shall be developed to TC1 equivalent performance using appropriate ground improvement techniques. Aurecon's role was to monitor the ground improvement quality assurance testing, which included cone penetration testing (CPT). Assessment of the results indicates the required ground improvement has been achieved.

In addition to ground improvement, extensive earthworks including cutting and filling have occurred on the site. The quality assurance testing of the engineered earthfill indicates that the earthfill placed within the Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 area has achieved the compaction levels as per NZS4431:1989.

Following completion of the earthworks and topsoil placement throughout the subdivision, a series of CPT tests were carried out to confirm the ground conditions. The purpose of the CPTs was to allow an assessment of the future land performance during large earthquakes and to determine the equivalent technical category of the land. Assessments of these results indicate the liquefaction deformation limits fit within those of TC1 and therefore we consider the site is likely to perform to the level of TC1.

From the monitoring and testing undertaken as part of the development of Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 the following is concluded:

**Certificate of Compliance**

The standard of bulk earthworks generally meet the earthworks specification and the applicable codes, including NZS4431:1989.

**Land Performance**

In line with the subdivision consent soil test results and following the ground improvement carried out as part of the site development, the residential lots within Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 are likely to perform to a level equivalent to TC1 as per MBIE (2012).

**Building Considerations**

As the residential lots are likely to perform to a level of TC1 and the lots are underlain by earthfill that has achieved the compaction as per NZS4431:1989, we consider NZS 3604:2011 type foundations are suitable for light weight timber or steel frame buildings. Site specific geotechnical investigations, in-line with NZS3604:2011 shall be undertaken at building consent application stage. ***This report shall not be used for building consent application for buildings on individual lots.***

This report shall be read as a whole and our explanatory statement is at the back of this report.

# 2. Introduction

## 2.1 Geotechnical Completion

CDL Land New Zealand Limited are developing Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park Subdivision, located on Prestons Road, Christchurch. The site works in Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 have included ground improvement and bulk earthworks. As part of this work, a geotechnical completion report is required to certify the site works have been carried out to the required standard and provide recommendations for building developments.

This report has been prepared for CDL Land New Zealand Limited and issued to Christchurch City Council (CCC). It describes earthworks and ground improvement involved with Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park Subdivision (see Figure 1 in Appendix A).

The purpose of this geotechnical completion report is to present the following:

- Summarise previous investigation information carried out as part of the subdivision consent and detailed design;
- Summarise the ground conditions and liquefaction risk;
- Extent of ground improvement and quality assurance testing of the ground improvement;
- Extent of earthworks on the lots and compliance testing of bulk earthworks;
- Summary of the findings, land technical category and recommendations for building development.

This report has been prepared based on geotechnical data from observations and compaction testing during and after earthworks construction and ground improvements. All references to cut-fill depths are based on the original (pre-2011) ground levels.

This report shall be read as a whole. Our explanatory statement is presented in Section 9.

## 2.2 Site Description

The Prestons Road subdivision is located on the northern fringes of Christchurch City. The site is made up of a series of adjacent properties forming an irregular and elongated rectangle shape, orientated approximately north to south. The total area of the overall Prestons Subdivision site is approximately 190ha. The site can be separated into two distinct blocks. Prestons North runs from the Lower Styx Road in the north through to Prestons Road in the south. Prestons Park continues from Prestons Road, through to Mairehau Road to the south.

The focus of the geotechnical completion report is on Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park Subdivision. Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 incorporates a moderate sized block in the southern area of the Prestons Park subdivision (see Figure 1 in Appendix A).

# 3. Pre-Development Geotechnical Work

## 3.1 Geotechnical Testing

The subdivision consent and detailed geotechnical design for the subdivision included an extensive series of geotechnical investigations. These comprised cone penetration tests (CPT), test pits, groundwater measurements and laboratory testing.

The details of these investigations are presented in the following Aurecon reports:

- *"Prestons Road Subdivision, Geotechnical Assessment Report for Resource Consent"*, Revision 2 dated 5 March 2012
- *"Prestons Road Subdivision, Detailed Geotechnical Design Report"*, Revision 2 dated 12 July 2012
- *"Prestons South Subdivision, Resource Consent Geotechnical Report"*, Revision 1 dated 6 June 2013

The investigation tests carried out within Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park area are presented in Figure 2 in Appendix A.

## 3.2 Ground Conditions

From the extensive geotechnical investigations, the ground conditions within the Prestons Park Subdivision were defined into various geological areas. The location of the geological area within Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 is presented in Figure 2 in Appendix A. The typical ground conditions in the area are presented in Table 1. We note the geological areas numbering is the same as those used in the geotechnical reports above.

**Table 1: Typical ground conditions within Geological Area S1**

| Depth to Top of Unit (m) | Depth to Base of Unit (m) | Soil Unit |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.2 to 0.75 | TOPSOIL. |
| 0.2 to 0.75 | 3 | SAND, loose to medium dense, with silty PEAT layers up to 0.3m thick within the upper 3m. |
| 3 | 15+ | SAND, medium dense to dense, becoming very dense with depth. Trace PEAT and SILT layers at depths of 10m+. |

Groundwater levels ranged from 0.5m to 1.5m below ground level. During the site earthworks the above soil profile and groundwater levels was typically encountered within the area of interest.

## 3.3 Liquefaction Potential

As part of the geotechnical assessment and detailed design a liquefaction assessment was carried out. The details of the liquefaction assessments are presented in the above reports. The land categorisation was based on the criteria of Ministry of Business, Innovation and Development (MBIE), Technical Category deformation performance limits are set out in Table 2.

**Table 2: Technical category definitions and foundation implications (MBIE, 2012)**

| Technical Category | Liquefaction Deformation Limits | | | | Likely Implications for House Foundations (Subject to individual assessment) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Vertical | | Lateral Spread | | |
| | SLS | ULS | SLS | ULS | |
| TC1 | 15mm | 25mm | nil | nil | Standard 3604-like foundation with tied slabs |
| TC2 | 50mm | 100mm | 50mm | 100mm | MBIE Enhanced Foundation Solutions |
| TC3 | >50mm | >100mm | >50mm | >100mm | Site Specific Measures – Piles or Ground Improvement |

The results from the liquefaction assessment indicated that the Prestons Subdivision can be classified as Technical Category 1 (TC1) and Technical Category 2 (TC2)

## 3.4 Liquefaction Mitigation Measures

The requirement from the client was to develop TC1 equivalent land for the entire subdivision development. Therefore, to address the liquefaction potential loose materials were improved using an 'excavate and replace' methodology. This involved excavation of loose sand and re-compaction. Compaction was typically completed using two methods:

- Lifting in 300mm increments using a 'traditional' earthworks vibratory compaction roller.
- Lifting in 700mm increments using a Broons impact compaction roller. The thickness of these lifts was determined based on trials completed in Prestons Park Stage U1.

# 4. Ground Improvement

## 4.1 Introduction

In order to raise the performance of the land to an equivalent TC1, ground improvement has been undertaken on any area identified as TC2, within the Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3. Ground improvement comprised excavation of loose, liquefiable sand material to a pre-determined depth. The sand was then replaced and compacted using the methods discussed in Section 3.4. The area that has undergone ground improvement is presented in Figure 3 in Appendix A.

Cone penetrometer testing (CPT) at the site was completed over a number of stages, for the purpose of both subdivision consenting and detailed design of the required earthworks. An assessment of the ground improvement requirements was completed prior to the commencement earthworks in Stage 2. This assessment was summarised in the report *235361 Prestons Park Subdivision Stage 2 Earthworks Design Report Rev 1*, dated 12 March 2018.

Field trials completed in Stage U1 and implemented in Substages R1 and Q1-Q3 identified that a Broons impact compactor sufficiently densified a 700mm layer of loosely placed sand, such that this sand was no longer prone to seismically induced liquefaction in an ultimate limit state event. The soil layers susceptible to seismically triggered liquefaction were typically located within the upper 2m to 3m of the soil column and therefore it was considered that ground improvement carried out by excavate and replace could effectively reduce the liquefaction susceptibility of the site.

## 4.2 Methodology

Our detailed geotechnical assessment summarised in Section 3 identified that ground improvement could be carried out and a TC1 performance level achieved. The methodology carried out for ground improvement for Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 comprised of the following:

- Use a Broons 4-Sided impact compactor.
- Carry out 40 passes over the required area, in a staged approach.
- Use a water cart to wet the compaction area, as required, to improve workability.
- Where the Broons impact compactor could not be used due to site constraints, ‘traditional’ earthworks vibratory rolling was used on thinner lifts.

## 4.3 Quality Assurance

On completion of the above methodology and general site earthworks, post compaction CPTs were carried out across the stage at chosen locations. The CPT logs are presented in Appendix C. A review of the results of these post-improvement CPT tests are given in Section 6.

# 5. Subdivision Earthworks

## 5.1 General

Bulk earthworks for Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of Prestons were carried out in accordance with the requirements of NZS 4404:2010, "*Code of Practice for Urban Subdivision*" and NZS4431:1989 "*Code of Practice for Earthfill for Residential Development*". The earthworks comprised excavation to remove in-situ organic material and then filling to the design level using the previously mentioned filling techniques. Regrading of the site contours from the original site levels has occurred however this was predominantly completed during the backfilling to replace the material excavated for the removal of organics.

On those occasions where quality control testing did not meet the specification, the Contractor was required to rework the fill to achieve the required compaction.

## 5.2 Areas of Cut and Fill

Site earthworks within Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 have included areas of both significant filling and cutting. The majority of cutting has been completed in Stages T2 and T3, with fill activities across the majority of S1 and S2. The fill material comprises predominantly sand overlying a natural sand subgrade. A layer of topsoil overlies the fill material. The extent of cutting and filling is shown in Figure 4 in Appendix A.

## 5.3 Compaction Quality Control Testing

Independent testing of earthfill compaction completed using traditional earthworks techniques was carried out using a Nuclear Densometer (NDM). The acceptance criterion was based on the Prestons Subdivision earthworks specification as follows:

- Compaction of fill is to be in accordance with NZS 4431: 1989.
- Compaction standard is 95% Maximum Dry Density (MDD) for all areas of bulk filling, per NZS4402 Test 4.1.3.

Fill material comprised of predominantly site-won sand. Compaction curves for each of the fill material are presented in Appendix D.

The MDD from the compaction curves were used to determine the level of compaction required for the fill material. A summary of these NDM results are presented in Appendix E and the NDM testing locations are presented in Figure 5 in Appendix A. The compaction tests were undertaken at a test frequency of approximately 1 test per 1,000m$^3$.

It is noted that the NDM results reference a MDD test completed in April 2014, despite some filling and associated testing being completed in September 2018. A newer set of MDD tests carried out in 2018, which are presented in Appendix D, indicates the required level of compaction is still being achieved. We have assessed the results of the NDM testing taking into account the range of MDD tests and our experience of the sand fill material used.

## 5.4 Compaction Results

The results presented in Appendix E indicate that 95% MDD or greater compaction has been consistently achieved in the areas of bulk fill. From these results and our site observations we confirm that all the earthfill placed within Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 has achieved the required compaction.

# 6. Post Earthworks CPT

## 6.1 Introduction

Following completion of the earthworks and topsoil placement throughout the subdivision, a series of CPT tests have been carried out to confirm the ground conditions. The CPTs have been carried out throughout Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 of the Prestons Park subdivision, whether it is within the ground improvement area or not.

The frequency of the CPT testing carried out was approximately two tests per hectare for Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 post earthworks assessment. The post filling CPTs are presented in Appendix C and the locations are shown in Figure 6 in Appendix A.

The purpose of the CPTs were to allow an updated assessment of the land technical category, further to that already undertaken as part of the subdivision consent and detailed geotechnical design, after the completion of ground improvement and site earthworks.

## 6.2 Liquefaction Assessment

To allow an assessment of the land technical category, a liquefaction assessment has been carried out on the post filling CPTs. The liquefaction assessment methodology has been discussed below.

### Introduction

As technical categories are derived by liquefaction induced deformation limits, a liquefaction assessment on the post compaction CPTs have been carried out to determine the extent of liquefaction and the induced settlements.

### Earthquake Cases

Earthquake induced ground acceleration and sustained shaking, leading to sufficient load cycles, is a requirement and a potential trigger of liquefaction. For the assessment we have reviewed three levels of seismic shaking.

1. Serviceability Limit State (SLS) design level earthquake, as defined by MBIE.
2. Intermediate design level earthquake, as defined by the subdivision consent conditions.
3. Ultimate Limit State (ULS) design level earthquake, as defined by MBIE.

Each of these earthquake cases is discussed in detail below:

Serviceability Limit State (SLS) Earthquake

From the MBIE Guidelines, we have derived a Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) of 0.13g for a SLS event with a Magnitude 7.5 earthquake.

Intermediate Level (Int) Earthquake

Subdivision consent conditions indicate that liquefaction mitigation measures for the subdivision infrastructure shall be designed for a 1 in 150-year period of return under the serviceability limit state (SLS) and as defined by NZS1170.5:2004.

Based on NZS1170.5:2004 for an Importance Level 2 (IL2) structure, with an increased Z hazard factor of 0.3, we have derived a PGA of 0.2g for a 1 in 150-year period of return. A Magnitude 7.5 has been assumed.

We note that this PGA is equivalent to the assumed SLS design level earthquake used for the liquefaction analysis as part of our assessment for the subdivision consent and detailed geotechnical design.

Ultimate Limit State (ULS) Earthquake

The MBIE Guidelines (2012) recommend a PGA of 0.35g for residential buildings in Christchurch. We have adopted this PGA value with a magnitude 7.5 earthquake for our ULS assessment.

The liquefaction analysis as part of our assessment for the subdivision consent and detailed geotechnical design used a PGA of 0.34g for ULS, which was based on NZS1170.5:2002. This is slightly less than recommended guidelines and as the difference is 0.01g we consider that this will not alter our original assessment or recommendations. However, to be in in line with current MBIE Guidelines we have used a PGA of 0.35g.

### Liquefaction Methodology

In assessing the liquefaction potential, we have used the method of Boulanger and Idriss (2014) to assess the potential settlement for each of the design level events, as per the MBIE Guidelines (2012) for residential properties. The assessment was carried out using an excel spreadsheet developed by Aurecon. The method of Robertson and Wride (1998) with the modified fines content was used to assess the liquefaction potential from the CPT results. The method of Zhang et al (2004) was used for estimating the liquefaction induced settlements from CPT results.

The CPT analysis has been performed to a depth of 10m, as this is the required depth in the MBIE Guidelines for technical category assessment.

In addition to determining the liquefaction induced reconsolidation settlement we have assessed the potential for liquefaction induced ground damage based on the Liquefaction Severity Number (LSN), as defined by Tonkin and Taylor (2013). Other ground damage potential methods (such as Ishihara, 1985) were assessed but LSN was considered the more appropriate method. Tonkin & Taylor (T&T) developed the Liquefaction Severity Number (LSN) based on investigation data and observations made following major earthquake events in Christchurch. The LSN number is an index number which qualitatively assesses the effects of liquefaction on a site and on a shallow founded building. The LSN number is calculated by the equation below.

$$LSN = 1000 \int \frac{\varepsilon_v}{z} . dz$$

Where: $\varepsilon_v = \text{volumetric reconsolidation strain}$

$z = \text{depth of liquefaction below ground level}$

The LSN number is likely to be a better index of surface damage than reconsolidation settlement because the LSN number is affected more by shallow liquefaction and less by liquefaction at depth, which is less likely to affect the ground surface or shallow founded buildings. Reconsolidation settlement places the same weighting on deep liquefaction as shallow liquefaction, even though settlement will have less impact at the ground surface with increasing depth. LSN numbers have been correlated to observed liquefaction effects during recent earthquakes in Christchurch as shown in Table 3 below.

**Table 3: LSN Ranges and Observed Effects (Tonkin and Taylor, 2013)**

| LSN Range | Predominant Performance |
|---|---|
| **0-10** | Little to no expression of liquefaction, minor effects |
| **10-20** | Minor expression of liquefaction, some sand boils |
| **20-30** | Moderate expression of liquefaction, with sand boils and some structural damage |
| **30-40** | Moderate to severe expression of liquefaction, settlement can cause structural damage |
| **40-50** | Major expression of liquefaction, undulations and damage to ground surface, severe total and differential settlement of structures |
| **>50** | Severe damage, extensive evidence of liquefaction at surface, severe total and differential settlements affecting structures, damage to services |

When compared to the broad descriptions of expected land performance in TC1, TC2 and TC3, as outlined in Section 3.3, the LSN number can be approximately correlated to technical categories as follows:

- TC1 = $LSN_{(ULS)} < 10$
- TC2 = $LSN_{(SLS)} < 20$ and $LSN_{(ULS)} < 30$
- TC3 = $LSN_{(SLS)} > 20$ or $LSN_{(ULS)} > 30$

A groundwater depth of 1.5m below finished earthworks level has been allowed. Testing information throughout Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 indicates the groundwater level is typically greater than 1.5m depth (more likely to be at depths of 2.0m or greater) therefore a conservative groundwater level has been used for the assessment.

### Liquefaction Assessment Results

The results for the liquefaction induced reconsolidation settlement are presented in Table 4. The results for the liquefaction induced ground damage potential (based on LSN numbers) are presented in Table 5.

**Table 4: Liquefaction induced settlements for post filling CPTs to 10m depth**

| Earthquake Magnitude 7.5, Water Depth 1.5m, 10m Analysis | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **CPT** | **SLS Design Event (0.13g)** | **Intermediate Design Event (0.20g)** | **ULS Design Event (0.35g)** |
| | Settlement (mm) | Settlement (mm) | Settlement (mm) |
| **CPTPF67** | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **CPTPF68** | 2 | 14 | 32 |
| **CPTPF69** | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **CPTPF70** | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| **CPTPF71** | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| **CPTPF72** | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| **CPTPF73** | 0 | 0 | 7 |

**Table 5: LSN for post earthworks CPTs to 10m depth**

| Earthquake Magnitude 7.5, Water Depth 1.5m, 10m Analysis | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **CPTs** | **SLS Design Event (0.13g)** | **Intermediate Design Event (0.20g)** | **ULS Design Event (0.35g)** |
| | *LSN* | *LSN* | *LSN* |
| **CPTPF67** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **CPTPF68** | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| **CPTPF69** | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **CPTPF70** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **CPTPF71** | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **CPTPF72** | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **CPTPF73** | 0 | 0 | 1 |

The results of CPTPF68 do not fit the liquefaction deformation limits of TC1, as the predicted settlement is 32mm is greater than the MBIE limit of 25mm. Given that the liquefaction occurs at depth (8m to 10m below ground level), the LSN is 4 (due to depth of liquefaction), and that we have used a conservative groundwater depth, it is considered that the ground performance is likely to be TC1 equivalent. Therefore, we consider the site is likely to perform to the level of TC1 requirements. The results indicate no expression of liquefaction in the SLS case and little to no expression of liquefaction in the ULS case. This is consistent with the definition for TC1.

# 7. Building Development

## 7.1 Technical Category

Extensive geotechnical testing has been carried out as part of the subdivision development. The testing indicates the lots within Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 are likely to perform to the level equivalent to TC1.

## 7.2 Earthworks on Building Lots

The extent of earthfill on the lots in Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 is shown on Figure 4 in Appendix A.

The fill areas have been constructed using materials and processes that have been randomly measured by independent testing. The testing shows that the placement of filling is generally in accordance with the specification and relevant standards.

## 7.3 Soil Suitability Criteria

Section 3 of New Zealand Standard NZS 3604:2011 "*Timber Framed Buildings not requiring specific Engineering Design*" provides several criteria for defining foundation soil suitability for lightweight timber or steel framed residential buildings.

Clauses 3.1.3 and 3.3 of NZS 3604:2011 provide criteria for determining strength and suitability of founding soils. Clauses 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 of NZS 3604:2011 discuss depths to competent founding. For purposes of this report, we have interpreted these clauses as meaning that for sound bearing at depths of 200mm to 600mm, standard shallow type foundations can be utilised. For depths greater than this, specific foundation designs could be used or alternatively excavations can be backfilled to the required level with 10MPa site concrete or compacted hardfill. In line with the client's brief Aurecon undertook site specific investigations on each residential lot and we have prepared site specific geotechnical reports addressing the foundation requirements on individual building lots. The testing data for the lot specific investigations has been uploaded to the New Zealand Geotechnical Database. For building consent purposes reports prepared for individual lots shall be used.

## 7.4 Building Considerations

As the land is likely to perform to a level of TC1 and a number of the lots are underlain by earthfill that has achieved the required compaction, we consider NZS 3604:2011 type foundations are suitable. We note that at the time of writing this report, the location and structural form of the future dwelling on the lots are unknown and our recommendations relate to NZS3604:2011 type lightweight timber or steel framed residential buildings only.

**This report shall not be used for individual building consent applications as site specific investigations as per NZS 3604:2011 are needed.**

## 7.5 Retaining Wall

A low height (i.e. less than 1m) timber pole retaining wall will be constructed in Substage S3, which is adjacent to Substage S1. This retaining wall is not considered to affect the construction of residential structures within Substage S1.

## 7.6 Future Earthworks

We do not anticipate that future earthworks will be required on the majority of the lots however should such work be required the following should be noted.

- All earthworks should be carried out in accordance with the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 and the Code of Practice for Safety in Excavations and Shafts for Foundations, 1995.

- Cuts that exceed 0.6m high around any of the house sites must be retained by a suitable retaining wall designed by a Chartered Professional Engineer.
- We recommend that no more than 450mm of fill is placed on the allotment without detailed engineering design.
- Fill should not be placed adjacent to any timber retaining wall, if present.
- Any development where excavations greater than 1.2m in depth are proposed, must be subject to specific investigation and design to confirm these works will have no adverse effect on land stability, infrastructure and/or structures on adjacent lots. Excavations near sensitive structures or near boundaries may require geotechnical engineering input even if shallower than 1.2m.

## 7.7 Stormwater

All stormwater collected by impermeable surfaces (dwelling and pavement) and grassed areas shall be collected by lined channel drains and sumps etc. and be piped away from the lots to discharge into the Council vested services.

## 7.8 Construction Observations

The suitability of foundation conditions must be verified at the time of construction (refer Requirements of NZS 3604:2011). Foundation inspections by a Building Inspector or a Chartered Professional Engineer who are familiar with this report must be carried out to ensure the adequacy of the foundation subgrade prior to the placement of granular hardfill or the construction of foundations.

# 8. References


Boulanger R.W. and Idriss, I.M., 2014. *CPT and SPT based Liquefaction Triggering Procedures.* Center for Geotechnical Modelling Report No. UCD/CGM-14/01, Department of civil and Environmental Engineering, College of Engineering, University of California at Davis.

Christchurch City Council, 2010. *Infrastructure Design Standards - Part 4: Geotechnical Requirements*.

Idriss and Boulanger, 2008. *Soil Liquefaction during Earthquakes*. EERI Monograph Series MNO-12
Ishihara, 1985. *Stability of natural deposits during earthquakes*. Proceedings, 11th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation engineering, Vol 1, pp. 321-376.

Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment (MBIE), 2012. *Repairing and rebuilding houses affected by the Canterbury earthquakes*.

NZGS, 2005. *Guidelines for the Classification and Field Description of Soils and Rocks in Engineering*. NZ Geotechnical Society Inc, Wellington, New Zealand.

NZGS, 2010. *Geotechnical earthquake engineering practice, Module 1 – Guideline for the identification, assessment and mitigation of liquefaction hazards*. NZ Geotechnical Society Inc, Wellington, New Zealand.

NZS1170.0:2002. *Australia/New Zealand Standard, Structural Design Actions, Part 0: General Principals.* Standards New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.

NZS1170.5:2002. *Australia/New Zealand Standard, Structural Design Actions, Part 5: Earthquake Actions – New Zealand.* Standards New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.

NZS 3604:2011. *Timber Framed Buildings*. Standards New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.

NZS 4404:2010. *Land development and subdivision infrastructure*. Standards New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.

NZS 4431:1989. *Code of practice for earth fill for residential development*. Standards New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.

Robertson and Wride, 1998. *Evaluating cyclic liquefaction potential using the cone penetration test*. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 35, pp. 442 – 459.

Tonkin and Taylor (2013) *Liquefaction Vulnerability Study*, Tonkin and Taylor Report 52020.0200/v1.0. February 2013. 52 pages and 14 appendices.

Zhang, Robertson, and Brachman, 2002. *Estimating liquefaction-induced ground settlements from CPT for level ground.* Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 39, pp.1168 – 1180.

# 9. Limitations & Explanatory Statement

This report has been prepared for CDL Land New Zealand Limited. It may be made available to others but only in full. As noted above, it shall not be used by any person as a substitute for specific field observations and testing once house sites are confirmed.

This report has been prepared as part of the development of the Prestons Park Stages S1, S2, T2 & T3 Subdivision. It has been prepared to provide the following information:

- To report on the management of the earthworks during construction, including compaction standards of fills.
- To report on the extent of ground improvement and the resulting land technical category.

This report does not remove the responsibility of the Owner / Builder / Building Certifier to satisfy themselves of foundation depth and suitability at the finally selected house location.

Subsurface conditions relevant to construction works should be assessed by experienced contractors and designers who can make their own interpretation of the factual data provided. They should perform any additional tests as necessary for their own purposes. Subsurface conditions, such as groundwater levels, can change over time. This should be borne in mind, particularly if the report is used after a protracted delay or in wet weather.

It is strongly recommended that any plans and specifications prepared by others and relating to the content of this report, or amendments to the original plans and specifications, are reviewed by Aurecon to verify that the intent of our recommendations is properly reflected in the design. During construction we request the opportunity to review our interpretations if the exposed site conditions are significantly different from those inferred in this report.

This report is not to be reproduced either wholly or in part without our prior written permission.

# Appendix A
# Figures




0 60 120 180 240 Meters

**NOTES** - PROPERTY DATA FROM LINZ

aurecon
www.aurecongroup.com

CLIENT: CDL LAND NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

| REV | DATE | REVISION DETAILS | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | 08.19 | ISSUE | J. Kupec |

| DRAWN | DESIGNED |
|---|---|
| R.Dawson | K Foote |
| CHECKED | |
| J. Muirson | |
| APPROVED | DATE |
| J. Kupec | AUG 2019 |

PROJECT: PRESTONS SOUTH STAGES S1, S2, T2 & T3

TITLE: SITE LOCATION PLAN

PROJECT No. 235361
SCALE: AS SHOWN
SIZE: A3
DRAWING No.: FIG 1
REV: A

Path: P:\223488\GIS\MXD\geotech figs\DETAILED DESIGN - COMPLETION REPORTS\SOUTH STAGES SnT_1\FIG 1 SITE.mxd




NOTES:

1. IMPACT COMPACTION METHODOLOGY INVOLVED EXCAVATION TO THE BOTTOM OF INSITU ORGANIC MATERIAL BEFORE FILLING TO THE DESIGN LEVEL WITH SITE-WON SAND. SAND WAS COMPACTED IN 700mm LIFTS USING A FOUR-SIDED IMPACT COMPACTOR.
2. STANDARD COMPACTION METHODOLOGY INVOLVED EXCAVATION TO THE BOTTOM OF INSITU ORGANIC MATERIAL BEFORE FILLING TO THE DESIGN LEVEL WITH SITE-WON SAND. SAND WAS THEN COMPACTED IN 300mm LIFTS USING A VIBRATORY ROLLER.
3. SEE SECTION FOUR OF PRESTONS PARK SUBDIVISION, STAGE S1, S2, T2 & T3 GEOTECHNICAL COMPLETION REPORT FOR FURTHER DETAILS.

**LEGEND**

- IMPACT COMPACTION
- STANDARD COMPACTION

0 25 50 75 100 Meters

aurecon
www.aurecongroup.com

CLIENT: CDL LAND NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

| REV | DATE | REVISION DETAILS | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | 08.19 | ISSUE | J. Kupec |

| DRAWN | DESIGNED |
|---|---|
| R.Dawson | K. Foote |
| CHECKED | |
| J. Muirson | |
| APPROVED | DATE |
| J. Kupec | AUG 2019 |

PROJECT: PRESTONS SOUTH STAGES S1, S2, T2 & T3

TITLE: GROUND IMPROVEMENT

PROJECT No. 235361
SCALE: AS SHOWN
SIZE: A3
DRAWING No.: FIG 3
REV: A

Path: P:\223488\GIS\MXD\geotech figs\DETAILED DESIGN - COMPLETION REPORTS\SOUTH STAGES SnT_1\FIG 3 GROUND IMPROVEMENT.mxd










**NOTES** - PROPERTY DATA FROM LINZ
- DEPTH BANDING DATA EXISTING TO FINISHED SURFACE

aurecon
www.aurecongroup.com

CLIENT
CDL LAND NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

| REV | DATE | REVISION DETAILS | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | 08.19 | ISSUE | J. Kupec |

| DRAWN | DESIGNED |
|---|---|
| R.Dawson | K. Foote |
| CHECKED | |
| J. Muirson | |
| APPROVED | DATE |
| J. Kupec | AUG 2019 |

PROJECT
PRESTONS SOUTH STAGES S1, S2, T2 & T3

TITLE
CUT FIL BANDING

| PROJECT No. | |
|---|---|
| 235361 | |
| SCALE | SIZE |
| AS SHOWN | A3 |
| DRAWING No. | REV |
| FIG 4 | A |

Path: P:\223488\GIS\MXD\geotech figs\DETAILED DESIGN - COMPLETION REPORTS\SOUTH STAGES SnT_1\FIG 4 CUT FILL BANDING.mxd




NDM TEST LOCATION

0 25 50 75 100 Meters

1:2,000

- PROPERTY DATA FROM LINZ

aurecon
www.aurecongroup.com

CLIENT

CDL LAND
NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

| REV | DATE | REVISION DETAILS | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | 08.19 | ISSUE | J. Kupec |

| DRAWN | DESIGNED |
|---|---|
| R.Dawson | K. Foote |

CHECKED: J.Muirson

APPROVED: J. Kupec — DATE: AUG 2019

PROJECT: PRESTONS SOUTH STAGES S1, S2, T2 & T3

TITLE: NDM TESTING LOCATIONS

PROJECT No.: 235361

SCALE: AS SHOWN — SIZE: A3

DRAWING No.: FIG 5 — REV: A

Path: P:\223488\GIS\MXD\geotech figs\DETAILED DESIGN - COMPLETION REPORTS\SOUTH STAGES SnT_1\FIG 5 NDM.mxd


**LEGEND**

POST FILLING CPT

0 25 50 75 100 Meters

aurecon
www.aurecongroup.com

CLIENT: CDL LAND NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

| REV | DATE | REVISION DETAILS | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | 08.19 | ISSUE | J. Kupec |

| DRAWN | DESIGNED |
|---|---|
| R.Dawson | K. Foote |
| CHECKED | |
| J.Muirson | |
| APPROVED | DATE |
| J. Kupec | AUG 2019 |

PROJECT: PRESTONS SOUTH STAGES S1, S2, T2 & T3

TITLE: POST EARTHWORKS CPTS

PROJECT No. 235361

SCALE: AS SHOWN | SIZE: A3

DRAWING No.: FIG 6 | REV: A

Path: P:\223488\GIS\MXD\geotech figs\DETAILED DESIGN - COMPLETION REPORTS\SOUTH STAGES SnT_1\FIG 6 POST EW CPTS.mxd

# Appendix B
# Pre-Compaction CPT Logs

# PIEZOCONE PENETROMETER TEST (CPTU) INTERPRETIVE REPORT




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Job No: | 9215 | Date: | 17-08-2011 |
| CPT No: | CPTu003 | Operator: | J. Kendrick |
| Project: | Aurecon NZ Ltd | Remark: | Target Depth |
| Location: | Prestons Road Subdivision | | |

McMILLAN DRILLING SERVICES

# PIEZOCONE PENETROMETER TEST (CPTU) INTERPRETIVE REPORT




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Job No: | 9215 | Date: | 17-08-2011 |
| CPT No: | CPTu004 | Operator: | J. Kendrick |
| Project: | Aurecon NZ Ltd | Remark: | Target Depth |
| Location: | Prestons Road Subdivision | | |

McMILLAN DRILLING SERVICES

# PIEZOCONE PENETROMETER TEST (CPTU) INTERPRETIVE REPORT




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Job No: | 9215 | Date: | 17-08-2011 |
| CPT No: | CPTu007 | Operator: | J. Kendrick |
| Project: | Aurecon NZ Ltd | Remark: | Target Depth |
| Location: | Prestons Road Subdivision | | |

McMILLAN DRILLING SERVICES

# PIEZOCONE PENETROMETER TEST (CPTU) INTERPRETIVE REPORT




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Job No: | 9215 | Date: | 17-08-2011 |
| CPT No: | CPTu008 | Operator: | J. Kendrick |
| Project: | Aurecon NZ Ltd | Remark: | Target Depth |
| Location: | Prestons Road Subdivision | | |

McMILLAN DRILLING SERVICES

# PIEZOCONE PENETROMETER TEST (CPTU) INTERPRETIVE REPORT




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Job No: | 10071 | Date: | 27-1-2012 |
| CPT No: | CPT102 | Operator: | N Barnes |
| Project: | Aurecon NZ Ltd | Remark: | Target Depth |
| Location: | Prestons Subdivision, Christchurch | | |

McMILLAN DRILLING SERVICES

# PIEZOCONE PENETROMETER TEST (CPTU) INTERPRETIVE REPORT




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Job No: | 10071 | Date: | 27-1-2012 |
| CPT No: | CPT104 | Operator: | N Barnes |
| Project: | Aurecon NZ Ltd | Remark: | Effective Refusal |
| Location: | Prestons Subdivision, Christchurch | | |

McMILLAN DRILLING SERVICES




PRO-DRILL
SPECIALIST DRILLING ENGINEERS

U2

Cone No: 4486
Tip area [cm2]: 10
Sleeve area [cm2]: 150

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Location: CHCH | Position: X: 0.00 m, Y: 0.00 m | Ground level: 0.00 | Test no: 523 |
| Project ID: | Client: Aurecon | Date: 29/08/2013 | Scale: 1 : 80 |
| Project: PRESTONS SOUTH PHASE 2 | | Page: 1/1 | Fig: |
| | | File: PrestonsSubdivisionPhase2CPT523.c | |




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Location: CHCH | Position: X: 0.00 m, Y: 0.00 m | Ground level: 0.00 | Test no: 523 |
| Project ID: | Client: Aurecon | Date: 29/08/2013 | Scale: 1 : 80 |
| Project: PRESTONS SOUTH PHASE 2 | | Page: 1/1 | Fig: |
| | | File: PrestonsSubdivisionPhase2CPT523.c | |

PRO-DRILL
SPECIALIST DRILLING ENGINEERS

U2

Cone No: 4486
Tip area [cm2]: 10
Sleeve area [cm2]: 150